

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/354523635>

Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya

Article · September 2021

CITATIONS

0

READS

151

6 authors, including:



Alice Kosgei

Machakos University

6 PUBLICATIONS 4 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Paul Kimurto

Egerton University

91 PUBLICATIONS 1,026 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Pooran M Gaur

University of Western Australia

444 PUBLICATIONS 11,030 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Martin Yeboah

West Africa Centre for Crop Improvement

22 PUBLICATIONS 275 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Developing high yielding tomato with extended shelf life for Ghana [View project](#)



Transdisciplinary systems research to reduce cocoa swollen shoot virus disease in Ghana [View project](#)

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya

By

Alice Kosgei,^{1*} Paul Kimurto², Pooran Gaur³, Martin Yeboah⁴, Samuel Offei⁴ and Eric Danquah⁴

¹Department of Agricultural Education and Extension, Machakos University, Machakos, Kenya,

²Department of Crops, Horticulture and Soil Science, Egerton University, Njoro, Kenya,

³International Crops Research Institute for Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, India,

⁴West Africa Centre for Crop Improvement (WACCI), University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana,

*Corresponding Author: Alice Kosgei, Email: akosgei@mksu.ac.ke

Abstract

Chickpea production is mainly practiced on a small scale with productivity per hectare lower than the world average and a relatively slow adoption rate. This study investigated farmers' production constraints, preferred traits, and selection criteria for specific varieties. A participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) through Focus Group Discussions (FGD) was conducted in Bomet and Embu counties. The direct ranking was used to identify farmers' variety preference. Data collected was analysed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software. Farmers indicated that major production constraints were pests and disease, drought, and lack of early-maturing varieties. The preferred varieties were ICCV 97105, ICCV 92944, and ICCV 00108 due to high yielding, drought tolerant, early maturing, and pest and disease resistance. Farmers also had a higher preference for *Desi* than *Kabuli* types. From the study, farmers have preferences and breeders should aim at developing varieties with multiple traits for increased production and adoption.

Keywords: Chickpea adoption, chickpea constraints, Chickpea yield, drought, Farmer-preference, Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA)

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya

By

Alice Kosgei,^{1*} Paul Kimurto², Pooran Gaur³, Martin Yeboah⁴, Samuel Offei⁴ and Eric Danquah⁴

Introduction

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) production is ranked third among pulse crops grown accounting for approximately 14.2M tons, produced in over 50 countries, India being the main producer in terms of area (9.5M ha) and yield production (9.9M tons) (FAOSTAT, 2019) while Ethiopia is predominantly the main producer in Africa (Snapp et al., 2018, FAOSTAT, 2019). In Kenya, chickpea is relatively new and efforts to introduce the crop in dry highlands and lowlands showed a significant yield increase of up to 1.8 tons/ha in arid and semi-arid lands (ASALs) and 3.2 tons/ha in dry highlands. The crop is estimated to produce between 45,000 – 48,000 tons annually (MOA, 2017). With its recent introduction in dry highlands as a relay crop in Bomet, Nakuru, Koibatek regions among other parts of Kenya, chickpea will serve as a bonus crop in such areas since after harvesting the main crop, e.g. maize (*Zea mays* L.) or wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), the land is normally left fallow before the next cropping season. Chickpea grain is utilized in Kenya as a source of protein after boiling in a mixture with other grains, such as maize. Research shows that 40% of its weight is protein and with potential health benefits such as reducing cardiovascular, diabetic, and cancer risks (Meger and Haji, 2019). Its grain flour is used in making cakes, *chapatti*, and *Ugali*. Further, its flour can be used as a substitute for wheat in pasta production (Saget et al., 2020). Additionally, the grain is used as livestock feed especially for poultry and the straw is fed to cattle and shoats. Its green leaves and immature pods are utilized as a vegetable. However, despite the tremendous improvement in yield, its cultivation, adoption, and utilization are quite limited across the country.

Engaging farmers in understanding chickpea production constraints and their preferred traits would help in breeding varieties that are adaptable to the farmers' needs and environmental conditions. Farmers can be involved in the development of variety programs through various approaches including; Farmer's Breeding Approach (FAB) (Mekbib, 2008a), Participatory Plant Breeding (PPB) (Chiffolleau and Desclaux, 2006; FAO, 2009; Kiiza et al., 2012) was used in the development of cultivars suitable for organic farming (Shelton and Tracy, 2016). Combining PPB and Participatory Variety Selection (PVS) helped to reduce the possibility of farmers being given unacceptable varieties (Kiiza et al., 2012). Chickpea farmers in Naivasha and Bomet districts (currently sub-counties) in Kenya, participated in selecting chickpea varieties by utilizing the PVS approach (Thagana et al., 2009). AgroDuos technique that utilizes gamification, involved the pairwise ranking of variety traits (Steinke and Van Etten, 2017). Another approach is Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) started in late 1980s with its methodologies adopted from agro-ecosystems analysis and anthropology in combination with participatory research and elements of practice of Rapid Rural Appraisal (Cornwall and Pratt, 2011). Since its introduction in Kenya, several PRA studies have been conducted both in livestock and crop production sectors (Bebe *et al.*, 2003; Kamau, 2006; Leley, 2007; Ojwang, 2010) aimed at involving farmers in breeding to improve the adoption of developed breeds and varieties. This will further enhance the understanding of farmer preferred variety traits.

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

Statement of the Problem

Production of chickpea is faced with challenges ranging from production, environmental, preference and marketing among others. Chickpea in Kenya is still a new crop and majority of farmers have not adopted it. Farmers' participation through various approaches is useful in understanding their needs during the development of new varieties, one such successful approach is PRA. This information on farmers' constraints and preference for specific varieties of chickpea in Kenya are scanty and are critical in variety adoption and expansion in Kenya for increased production hence food security.

Objectives of the Study

The objectives of the study were to:

- a) Identify the major constraints in chickpea production
- b) Identify preferred traits influencing varietal selection and,
- c) Identify specific preferred chickpea varieties by farmers in the selected growing regions

Review of Related Literature

Chickpea types

There are two types of chickpeas grown worldwide: Desi and Kabuli (Upadhyaya et al., 2008; Gaur et al., 2010). The Desi type is characterized by small seeds (100-200 mg), angular shape, with a rough surface, colored seeds of various shades of brown, yellow, green, and black, with a high percentage of fiber. The flowers are generally pink and the plants show various degrees of anthocyanin pigmentation, although some Desi types have white flowers and no anthocyanin pigmentation on the stem. On the other hand, Kabuli types are large-seeded (200-680 mg), ram-head shape, and have beige-colored seeds, thin seed coat, smooth seed surface, with a low percentage of fiber but high sucrose, white flowers, and no anthocyanin pigmentation on the stem. Both types are grown in Kenya, with most being introductions from the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), India, and are selected for adaptability studies. However, there is only scanty information on these introductions of chickpea variety-specific traits that influence adoption.

Farmer participation in variety selection through Participatory rural Appraisal (PRA)

A Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) technique has been successful in engaging farmers in identifying and analysing their data and coming up with possible solutions based on their challenges (Cornwall and Pratt, 2011). PRA techniques have been applied in several crop fields to understand farmers' constraints and trait preferences. A PRA conducted in Zimbabwe on maize adaptation to drought, farmers had different views on the ranking of traits they consider of importance when selecting varieties for stress prone environments (Mhike et al., 2012). According to Mekbib, (2008b) selection efficiency of socio-ecotype differentiation and the varietal mixture was achieved in Ethiopia through farmer participation. In Kenya, a PRA conducted showed that the major constraints were drought and pest infestation and that varieties with multiple traits were preferred in common beans (Ojwang, 2010). In another PRA, farmers indicated that drought and lack of knowhow were the major concerns in maize production and farmers preferred drought tolerant lines in addition to high yielding, recovery after a dry spell and stay green traits (Leley, 2007). Other PRA studies conducted were on cassava production systems, constraints and farmer preference in Western Kenya (Were, 2011) and on finger millet constraints, variety diversity

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

and preferences (Oduori, 2009). Surveys have also been used with similar objectives. In a survey conducted in Mbeere district to evaluate chickpea as an adaptation to agriculture system in Kenya, farmers indicated that they were planting chickpea as it was able to withstand dry and hot seasons (Kaloki, 2010). In another research, a survey was used to determine whether winter-sown chickpea technology disseminated in Syria had any impact on the livelihoods of small-scale farmers (Mazid et al., 2013). According to these authors, understanding the criteria that farmers use to evaluate new crop varieties allows breeders to effectively set priorities and target different breeding strategies to different communities in the dry areas. This approach has been applied in several crops to understand farmers' constraints and varietal trait preferences.

Farmers' constraints and preferred traits

Chickpea in Kenya is prone to drought, especially terminal drought (towards end of reproductive period). Although moisture stress in ASALs may be minimized using minimum tillage and herbicides in large scale farms herbicide application under small scale in Kenyan farming systems is not feasible. Other challenges include pests and diseases and post-harvest losses. Farmers will therefore choose varieties that are able to tolerate drought and get reasonable yields. Farmers have different preference and these are important in breeding. A study on maize adaptation to drought showed that farmers had varying opinions on the ranking of traits they take into account during the selection of varieties for drought-prone environments (Mhike et al., 2012). Similarly, in Nigeria, farmers were able to select specific preferred traits and high pro-vitamin A varieties of cassava (Njogu et al., 2014) and sweet potato farmers indicated their preferences and production constraints in Tanzania (Kagimbo et al., 2018). Farmers in Northern Ghana were able to identify production constraints of groundnuts (Oppong-Sekyere et al., 2015) while farmers participated in identifying reasons for crop establishment in maize, chickpea and upland rice in India and Zimbabwe (Harrisa et al., 2001). In Kenya, research in common beans showed that the major constraints were drought and pest infestations (Ojwang, 2010). On the other hand drought and lack of know-how were the major concerns and farmers preferred drought tolerant lines in addition to high yielding lines, ability to recover after a dry spell, and stay green traits in maize (Leley, 2007). Farmers selected preferred traits in cassava and finger millet production systems in Kenya (Oduori, 2009; Were, 2011). The above studies show that knowledge on criteria that farmers use to evaluate new crop varieties will enable breeders to set priorities that target diverse breeding approaches that meet farmers' needs.

Materials and Methods

Study area

The study area covered three chickpea growing areas namely: Bomet, Chepalungu, and Mbeere South sub-counties, formerly districts. Bomet and Chepalungu are located in Bomet County. The altitude in the county ranges from 1,689 m to 2,328 m above sea level (asl) and represents dry highlands, while rainfall ranges between 1,000 mm and 1,400 mm per annum. The county receives bimodal rainfall with the long rains occurring from March to May and the short rains from August to October. Temperatures are in the range of 10°C to 27°C, with a mean monthly temperature of 18°C (NEMA, 2009a). These areas represented the dry highlands of Kenya where chickpea production was being promoted to increase production. Further, farmers in these areas leave the land fallow after harvesting the main crop hence promoting chickpea that can survive under residing moisture will enhance the production.

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

Mbeere South sub-county is located in Embu County with an altitude ranging from 500 m - 1200 m asl. The extensive altitude range of the sub-county influences the temperature, which ranges from 20 °C to 32°C. August being the coldest month with an average monthly minimum temperature of 15°C, while March is the warmest month with an average monthly maximum temperature rising to 30°C. The sub-county has two rainy seasons, the long rains that fall between March and June, while the short rains coming on from October to December. The rainfall however is not very reliable and range between 640 – 1100 mm per year. Despite this, most parts of the sub-county receive less than 500 mm of rainfall per year, giving the area a marginal status (NEMA, 2009b). Mbeere region represented the dry lowlands where chickpea production is grown. Most farmers in these areas plant chickpea mainly during the short rain season.

Sampling Procedure

Bomet and Mbeere South Counties are in dry highlands and lowlands respectively and farmers in these regions grow chickpea. Three villages in Bomet Sub County; Kiplabotwa, Cheboror, Olbobo, and two villages in Chepalungu sub-county; Bing'wa and Chemeng'wa, were sampled while in Mbeere South sub-county four villages (Ndia-Ndasa, Gategi, Maviani-Wovosyo, and Maviani-Rurii) were sampled for the study. The villages in Bomet Sub-County were randomly sampled while in Mbeere South sub-county, purposive sampling, guided by black cotton soils type which could support chickpea growth for a longer period, given that most parts of the sub-county are hot and dry, was used. The identification of these villages was guided by agricultural extension officers. The total number of farmers involved in the study was 235 comprising 103 males and 132 females (Table 1).

Data collection and analysis

Data was collected using Focus Group Discussions (FGD) comprising of 235 farmers (103 males and 132 females) as shown in Table 1. A semi-structured questionnaire was used to lead the discussions. Data was collected on chickpea production constraints, preferred chickpea traits, and specific varieties traits where direct ranking was used. The study was organized with the help of extension officers from the Ministry of Agriculture Livestock Fisheries and Cooperatives, farmer groups, and chickpea informants. Farmers listed the responses and were ranked based on their importance by the majority. The total was obtained by adding the number of respondents, to the same suggested ranking, and dividing by the total number of farmers. The farmer's constraints, preferences, and criteria for variety selection were analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 17, and results were summarized in tables.

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

Table 1: Sites and number of farmers involved in the PRA study conducted in Bomet and Chepalungu Sub-Counties

Sub-County	Village	Number of farmers by gender		Total
		Female	Male	
Bomet	Kiplabotwa	9	6	15
	Cheboror	8	7	15
	Olboobo	10	9	19
Sub-total		27	22	49
Chepalungu	Bingwa	10	7	17
	Chemengwa	21	11	32
Sub-total		31	18	49
Mbeere South	NdiaNdasa	5	7	12
	Gategi	18	13	31
	Maviani– Wovosyo	41	34	75
	Maviani – Rurii	11	9	20
Sun-total		55	43	95
Total		132	103	235

Source: Field data

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

Results

Constraints in chickpea production

The ten constraints faced by chickpea farmers are displayed in Tables 2 and 3. The farmers in the Bomet had varied responses on constraints, Kiplabotwa and Olbobo ranked insect pests (86.67% and 94.74%, respectively) while Cheboror ranked drought (86.67%) as the major production constraints. Overall, farmers in Bomet sub-county reported insect damages (87.13%) and drought (83.16%) as the main constraints. Other constraints were damage by birds (76.96%) and unavailability of seeds (68.66%) especially of varieties that were early maturing (53.57%). In Chepalungu sub-county, Bing'wa reported that the maturity period (88.24%), followed by drought (82.35%) were a major threat while Chepmeng'wa farmers indicated insect pests (78.13%) and drought (75.00%) as major factors. The results from the two villages showed that drought (78.68%) and insect pests (77.30%) were major hindrances to production. Overall insects (82.22%), drought (80.93%) and birds damage (72.44%) were major threats in Bomet county. Unlike the two sub-counties, Mbeere South had a slightly different ranking where lack of market for the chickpea was the main challenge as reported in Ndia-Ndaasa (91.67%), Maviani-Rurii (62.00%), and Mariani-Wavosyo (82.67%) while in Gategi, drought (93.55%) was a major factor. Overall, 84.91% and 77.72% of farmers felt that lack of market and drought respectively were the major factors to chickpea production in Mbeere South (Table 3). However, these farmers had no challenge with bird damage, seed availability, and the duration the varieties take in the field unlike in Bomet, but they reported a lack of dehusking machine and poor timing of planting.

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

Table 2: Constraints to chickpea production in three areas in Bomet and two in Chepalungu and their combined percentages in the two Sub-Counties

	Bomet sub-county				Chepalungu sub-county			Overall combined constraints (%)
	Kiplabotwa (%)	Cheboror (%)	Olbobo (%)	Overall percentage	Bingwa (%)	Chepmengwa (%)	Overall percentage	
Insect	86.67	80.00	94.74	87.13	76.47	78.13	77.30	82.22
Drought	73.33	86.67	89.47	83.16	82.35	75.00	78.68	80.92
Birds's damage	80.00	66.67	84.21	76.96	76.47	59.38	67.92	72.44
Lack of seeds	73.33	80.00	52.63	68.65	35.29	15.63	25.46	47.06
Maturity period	46.67	66.67	47.37	53.57	88.24	56.25	72.24	62.90
Available market	60.00	53.33	36.84	50.06	35.29	53.13	44.21	47.13
Disease infestation	33.33	40.00	47.37	40.23	58.82	68.75	63.79	52.01
Water logging	60.00	26.67	10.53	32.40	41.18	18.75	29.96	31.18
Threshing ability	20.00	13.33	0.00	11.11	11.76	31.25	21.51	16.31
Lack of training	0.00	26.67	10.53	12.40	11.76	87.50	49.63	31.02

Note: The total percentage can add up to more than 100 since the ranking was by a majority of farmers. Source: Field data

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

Table 3: Constraints to chickpea production in the four areas and combined percentage in Mbeere South Sub-Counties

	Ndia-Ndasa (%)	Gategi (%)	Maviani – Rurii (%)	Maviani – Wavosyo (%)	Overall combined constraints (%)
Lack of Markets	91.67	90.32	62.00	82.67	84.91
Drought	75.00	93.55	58.00	77.33	77.72
Pest infestation	66.67	87.10	55.00	73.33	74.27
Diseases (Blight)	75.00	80.65	50.00	66.67	69.33
Threshability	25.00	77.42	30.00	40.00	46.85
Lack of dehusking machine	16.67	67.74	32.00	42.67	35.52
Water logging	58.33	61.29	10.00	13.33	38.24
Poor timing at planting	16.67	16.13	15.00	20.00	20.70

Note: The total percentage can add up to more than 100 since the ranking was by a majority of farmers. Source: Field data

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

Chickpea preferred traits

Farmers in Kiplabotwa, Cheboror, and Olbobo preferred chickpeas that are high yielding; 86.67%, 93.33%, and 89.47% respectively, while drought tolerance; 73.33%, 86.67%, and 78.95% in that order respectively came second. Other highly-rated traits by the three areas were earliness, pest resistance, and good taste (Table 4). Similarly, in Bing'wa and Chepmeng'wa high yielding varieties were preferred, 88.24% and 90.63% respectively, while drought (74.47%) in Bingw'a and earliness (74.36%) in Chepmeng'wa came second. The results obtained showed that in both Bomet and Chebalungu farmers regarded high yield (89.63%), drought tolerance (76.91%), and early maturing varieties (72.79%) as the most important traits they would choose in a variety. Although farmers in Mbeere South considered all these traits as important, their ranking differed slightly with high yield (81.66%) being ranked first, followed by drought tolerance (67.23%), pest resistance (56.40%), and early maturity (47.56%) (Table 5).

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

Table 4: Chickpea preferred traits ranked by farmers in three areas in Bomet and two in Chepalungu Sub-Counties and their combined responses

	Bomet Sub-County				Chepalungu Sub-County			Overall combined preference (%)
Traits	Kiplabotwa (%)	Cheboror (%)	Olbobo (%)	Overall percentage	Bing'wa (%)	Chemeg'wa (%)	Overall percentage	
High yield	86.67	93.33	89.47	89.82	88.24	90.63	89.43	89.63
Drought tolerance	73.33	86.67	78.95	79.65	76.47	71.88	74.17	76.91
Earliness	66.67	73.33	73.68	71.23	70.59	78.13	74.36	72.79
Pest resistance	93.33	53.33	47.37	64.68	64.71	6.25	35.48	50.08
Disease resistance	80.00	60.00	31.58	57.19	70.59	65.63	68.11	62.65
Threshing ability	6.67	66.67	0.00	24.44	47.06	6.25	26.65	25.55
Good taste	40.00	86.67	63.16	63.27	52.94	59.38	56.16	59.72
Germination	6.67	66.67	52.63	41.99	58.82	65.63	62.22	52.11
Heavy seeds	6.67	6.67	36.84	16.73	5.88	50.00	27.94	22.33
Colour	0.00	6.67	31.58	12.75	5.88	28.13	17.00	14.88
Tolerant to waterlogging	6.67	6.67	26.32	13.22	5.88	6.25	6.07	9.64
Stability	6.67	6.67	42.11	18.48	5.88	6.25	6.07	12.27

Note: The total percentage can add up to more than 100 since the ranking was by a majority of farmers. Source: Field data

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

Table 5. Chickpea preferred traits ranked by farmers in Mbeere South Sub-County

Trait Preference	Ndia-Ndasa (%)	Gategi (%)	Maviani - Ririi (%)	Maviani - Wavosyo (%)	Overall preference (%)
High yielding	83.33	90.32	85.00	68.00	81.66
Drought tolerance	52.94	80.65	70.00	65.33	67.23
Early maturity	47.06	74.19	5.00	64.00	47.56
Pest resistance to both field and storage pests	41.18	67.74	50.00	66.67	56.40
Tolerance to water logging	41.18	54.84	5.00	46.67	36.92
Easy to thresh and winnow	5.88	3.23	60.00	48.00	29.28
Adaptability to intercropping	5.88	3.23	40.00	2.67	12.94
Resistance to diseases	35.29	61.29	20.00	45.33	40.48
Good taste	23.53	41.94	55.00	37.33	39.45
Colour	29.41	0.00	15.00	20.00	16.10
Soft testa	35.29	32.26	5.00	1.33	18.47

Note: The total percentage can add up to more than 100 since the ranking was by a majority of farmers. Source: Field data

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

Chickpea variety ranking

Specific varieties grown by farmers were ranked based on the six most preferred traits (Table 6). However, this was done only in Bomet (Kiplabotwa) and Chepalungu (Bing'wa and Chemeng'wa) sub-counties since the farmers knew the specific varieties by name unlike in other villages in Bomet and Mbeere South. The results indicated that variety ICCV 97105 (Desi), released as *Chania Desi I* was the most preferred variety because it was considered high yielding, drought tolerant, early maturing, pest and disease resistant but it was reported to have small seeds. The other three important varieties were ICCV 92944 (Desi), released as *Chania Desi II* and ICCV 00108 (Desi), released as *LDT 068*, and ICCV 95423 (Kabuli) released as *Saina KI* based on traits as shown in Table 6. The farmers interviewed in Mbeere South distinguished varieties based on types either as Desi (brown seeds) or Kabuli (cream seeds) with more preference to Desi than Kabuli.

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

Table 6: Direct ranking of specific chickpea varieties against highly ranked traits in Kiplabotwa in Bomet Sub-County, Bing'wa and Chemeng'wa both in Chepalungu sub-Counties

	High yield	Drought tolerance	Early Maturity	Pest resistance	Disease resistance	Large seeds
Variety/type	Kiplabotwa					
ICCV 97105 (Desi)	1 (60)	1 (47)	1 (47)	2 (27)	2 (33)	4 (7)
ICCV 92944 (Desi)	2 (20)	2 (27)	2 (27)	3 (13)	1(40)	2 (27)
ICCV 00108 (Desi)	4 (7)	4 (20)	3 (20)	1 (53)	3 (20)	3 (13)
ICCV 95423 (Kabuli)	3 (12)	3 (7)	4 (7)	4 (7)	4 ()	1 (60)
ICCV 96329 (Kabuli)	5 (0)	5 (0)	5 (0)	5 (0)	5 (0)	5 (0)
	Bing'wa					
ICCV 97105 (Desi)	1(35)	1 (41)	1 (35)	1 (41)	1 (35)	5 (9)
ICCV 95423 (Kabuli)	2 (6)	2 (12)	5 (6)	4 (12)	2 (29)	2 (24)
ICCV 00108 (Desi)	3 (29)	3 (24)	2 (29))	2 (24)	3 (26)	4 (12)
ICCV 00305 (Desi)	5 (12)	4 18)	4 (12)	3 (18)	5 (9)	1 (41)
ICCV 96329 (Kabuli)	4 (18)	5 (9)	3 (18)	5 (9)	5 (9)	3 (18)
Variety/type	Chemeng'wa					
ICCV 97105 (Desi)	1 (34)	1 (38)	1 (41)	1 (38)	1 (31)	4 (9)
ICCV 92944 (Desi)	2 (31)	2 (31)	2 (25)	2 (31)	3 (22)	3 (22)
ICCV 95423 (Kabuli)	4 (22)	3 (13)	3 (22)	5 (13)	2 (28)	2 (25)
ICCV 96329 (Kabuli)	3 (13)	4 (19)	4 (13)	3 (19)	4 (19)	1 (44)
ICCV 00108 (Desi)	5 (0)	5 (0)	5 (0)	5 (0)	5 (0)	5 (0)

Key: Varieties ranked 1 had highly preferred trait and 5 was ranked lowest; figures in parenthesis represent farmers preferred trait in percentage (%); Note: The total percentage can add up to more than 100 since the ranking was by a majority of farmers. Source: Field data

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

Discussion

Chickpea production Constraints

Chickpea in Kenya is produced purely under rain-fed conditions where a majority of farmers plant during the short rains, once the main crop is harvested and on a small scale. Farmers in Chepalungu and Bomet sub-counties reported that pest infestations, drought, and lack of early maturing varieties were the major constraints while farmers in Mbeere South farmers ranked lack of market as a major constraint followed by drought and pest infestation. This difference in the ranking was due to different farming systems, needs, and purposes in addition to farming practices in differing localities. Lack of market for example was a major issue in Mbeere South because most of the communities in the region planted chickpea for commercial purposes just like crops such as maize and rice. Availability of the market strongly determines when and what to plant. In other reports, market demand strongly influenced farmers' crop selection criteria (Witcombe et al., 2006).

Pest infestations were major constraints that cut across the three sub-counties. Pod borers were reported to be the major pest. Losses in chickpea due to *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hüb.) alone were reported to range between 20 – 40 % (Sharma et al., 2005). Chickpea was planted during the short rains under rain-fed conditions, as a relay crop in Bomet and Chepalungu and as a sole crop in Mbeere South. These seasons are characterized by unreliable and unpredicted rainfall patterns and changes in temperature due to the dry period. This probably increases the pest population mainly pod borers. When there is high rainfall, it was predicted to contribute to washing the noctuid eggs of *H. armigera* (Hüb.) lowering the population (Mulwa et al., 2010). Additionally, it was reported that an abrupt rise in temperature by 5°C at the podding stage of chickpea caused the maximum prevalence of *H. armigera* larvae in India (Singh et al. 2015). A similar observation was reported in common beans during drought and late-planted seasons where temperature affected bean fly populations (Sariah and Makundi, 2007; Kosgei, et al., 2013). This showed that pest infestation correlates with weather conditions hence the need for continuous pest monitoring. Birds' damage was also reported as a problem in Bomet and Chepalungu during the podding stage. Birds become serious during podding when pods are tender and easy to peck, and generally, chickpea is sweet-tasting at this stage. Also, during this season, chickpea is probably the only crop in the field, since it is planted after harvesting the main crop. This gives birds no other alternative food; hence serious damage is experienced when few farmers having a crop in the field. Some farmers indicated that they intercropped chickpea and sorghum, as a trap crop since sorghum is preferred by birds. This has been reported to work in managing pests such as stem borers in other crops (Wright et al., 2020). Encouraging many farmers to plant chickpea as a relay crop in an intercrop will probably reduce bird's damage.

Drought has become a frequent occurrence in several parts of the country. Although chickpea is known for its drought tolerance compared to other commonly grown legumes, terminal drought reduces yield and can lead to total crop failure. In general, drought has been reported to cause 40 - 50 % average yield loss in chickpea (Varshney et al., 2009) while terminal drought caused seed yield reduction of between 58 - 95 % in comparison to yield under irrigation (Leport et al., 2006). Terminal drought imposed on early podding chickpea affected yield-related traits which ultimately affected yield (Pang et al., 2017). According to Lalitha et al., (2015) further losses due to climate change will lead to increased incidence and severity of drought resulting in reduced food production. In a survey done in Mbeere, farmers indicated that food shortage period occurs from December to February (Mergeai et al., 2001).

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

During this time, these areas are receiving short rains and also indicated as a time of planting chickpea hence exposing it to terminal drought.

Lack of early maturing variety was another constraint that caused yield reduction in Bomet and Chepalungu sub-counties. When varieties take longer to mature, the crop will be at the podding stage during the dry period of the short rains, hence they will not escape drought. During the short rains season, rainfall may either delay or stop early. This exposes the crop to both early stress and late drought (terminal) or one of these constraints. Reports on chickpea in Naivasha, planted towards the end of the rainy season, had significantly decreased shoot biomass and number of pods (Onyari et al., 2010) while early maturing varieties escaped drought (Upadhyaya et al., 2007). Significant advancement in the maturity date of chickpea in Canada was achieved by incorporating early flowering, double podding, and other favorable alleles into the desirable genetic backgrounds (Anbessa et al., 2007). International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA) considered traits such as early seedling establishment, early plant growth vigor and canopy development, early flower development, and maturity as potential traits through which useful lines were identified (Mazid et al., 2013). Such traits are important in managing drought conditions, especially during short rains.

Disease infestation was also reported as an important constraint. The major disease reported to attack chickpea was Ascochyta blight [*Ascochyta rabiei* L. (Pass.)] and cases of Fusarium wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum*) (Gan et al., 2006; Pande et al., 2011; Kimurto et al., 2013; Pande et al., 2013). This was mainly a problem in the dry highlands due to cooler temperatures during the early months of chickpea production. Chickpea losses due to Ascochyta blight were reported to cause up to 100 % crop loss especially in dry highlands (Kimurto et al., 2013). Besides, black cotton soils could retain water longer, and if excess rainfall occurred at a later stage of crop growth it could result in root rots and blights.

Chickpea farmers preference

Farmers in the three sub-counties ranked high yield, tolerance to drought, and early maturity as the main reasons for the choice of varieties to plant. Other traits of importance were tolerance to pests and diseases. As indicated, during the short rains season, chickpea is normally exposed to harsh conditions such as drought reducing the yields (Thudi et al., 2014). Varieties that could tolerate these conditions or mature early before the terminal drought sets in were preferred (Gaur et al., 2009). Such varieties would also have less infestation by pests due to a short cycle when pest population pressure was still low. Results from a survey in Embu, indicated that farmers were also interested in chickpeas that are bold seeded, easy to thresh (adaptable to mechanization), heat tolerant, easy to cook, and have a better taste (Kaloki, 2010). In PVS conducted in Naivasha and Bomet sub-counties, farmers preferred chickpea that was disease-resistant, early maturing, high plant vigor, tasty, and high seed yield (Thagana et al. 2009). Seed size and uniformity were noted as important in determining market price especially for the Kabuli type (Davies et al., 1999). Lack of improved varieties and mechanization challenges in chickpea were indicated as reasons that hinder the development of the chickpea seed industry (Van Gastel et al., 2018). Lack of availability of seed also hinders farmer adoption.

Chickpea requires 152.4 – 254.0 mm of rainfall a season or irrigation during its growth and development and hence well suited to semi-arid lands or under limited-irrigation production areas, however, its exposure to terminal drought is one of the major constraints to

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

increasing productivity (Kanouni et al., 2012). In research conducted in Zimbabwe on maize adaptation to drought, farmers indicated that they preferred maize that was high-yielding, early maturing, and drought-tolerant (Mhike et al., 2012). Farmers' interest in high-yielding and drought-tolerant varieties could be attributed to the current temperature increase due to global warming. Rising temperatures, droughts, floods, desertification, and weather extremes will severely affect agriculture, especially in the developing world (IPCC, 2009). Reports indicated that negative impacts of climate change on crop yields than positive impacts have been more common (IPCC, 2014). Farmers, therefore, have rich knowledge and experience in the selection of varieties over several years hence enhancing agricultural production.

Chickpea variety selection

The choice of specific preferred varieties differed among the farmers in the regions but three varieties namely; ICCV 97105, ICCV 92944, ICCV 00108, all being Desi types, were common across the three locations and given more preference. One variety ICCV 95423 which is Kabuli type was given some preference. Similar differences in the choice of varieties across localities have been reported (Ojwang, 2010; Were, 2011; Kiiza et al., 2012). Farmers reported that the Desi type had many uses compared to Kabuli in addition to less infestation by pod borers and disease. It also cooks well without testa peeling off, just like beans, pigeon peas, green grams, and green peas, compared to Kabuli types. Thus, it was possible to cook in a mixture with maize just like beans. However, they indicated that it takes slightly longer to cook. Further, the Desi type of varieties was shown to be more drought tolerant than the Kabuli type (Purushothaman et al., 2013). Involving farmers in understanding their constraints and preferences of traits of interest and choice of specific varieties are key factors in the development of varieties that target and meet their needs, under different environmental conditions.

Conclusion and Recommendation

Results from this research show that farmers have constraints that hinder chickpea production and utilization and preferred traits for specific varieties that could influence adoption rate. Farmers in chickpea growing areas indicated that drought, pest infestations, and lack of market were the most important constraints, followed by lack of training and good quality seeds. Farmers preferred chickpea varieties with the following traits: high yielding, drought tolerant, early maturing, resistant to pests/diseases, and tolerant to waterlogging. Farmers also reported preference to certain varieties over others, with more preference to Desi than Kabuli types, given that it cooks without peeling off its testa hence possible to cook well with maize. To develop high-yielding chickpea with qualities that address farmers' constraints and preferences, their involvement is crucial from the beginning of the breeding process i.e., before developing a variety, to ensure their major constraint(s) and preferred traits are considered. It is therefore recommended that farmers need to be involved in variety development to understand their constraints and preference. This will increase the chances of adoption of varieties released, hence increased chickpea productivity in Kenya.

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to Alliance for Green Revolution in Africa (AGRA), through West Africa Centre for Crop Improvement (WACCI), University of Ghana, for funding. We appreciate the extension officers in the Ministry of Agriculture Livestock and Fisheries for

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

guidance in chickpea growing areas and the farmers for their participation in the PRA exercise. Appreciation also goes to the enumerators who assisted during the study.

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

References

- Anbessa, Y., Warkentin, T., Beuckert, R., Tar'an, B., & Vandenberg, A. (2007). Short internode, double podding and early flowering effects on time to maturity in chickpea. *Field Crops Research* 102, 43-50. DOI: 10.1016/j.fcr.2007.01.004
- Bebe, B. O., Udo, H. M. J., Rowlands, G. J. & Thorpe, W. (2003). Smallholder dairy systems in the Kenya highlands: Breed preferences and breeding practices. *Livestock Production Science*, 82: 117-127.
- Chiffolleau, Y., & Desclaux, D. (2006). Participatory plant breeding: The best way to breed for sustainable agriculture? *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, 4,119-130. DOI: 10.1080/14735903.2006.9684795
- Cornwall, A., & Pratt, G. (2011). The use and abuse of participatory rural appraisal: reflections from practice. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 28, 263-272. DOI: 10.1007/s10460-010-9262-1
- Davies, S.L., Turner, N.C., Siddique, K.H.M., Plummer, J.A., & Leport, L. (1999). Seed growth of Desi and Kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in short-season Mediterranean-type environment. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 39, 181-188. DOI: 10.1071/Ea98134
- FAO (2009). Participatory Plant Breeding and Farmer Participation. Editors: Ceccarelli, S., Guimarães, E.P and Weltzien, E. Food and Agricultural Organization, Rome, Italy.
- FAOSTAT (2019). Crops production and acreage data. Food and Agricultural Organization, Rome, Italy. www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC. Accessed 19th March 2021.
- Gan, Y., Siddique, K., Macleod, W., & Jayakumar, P. (2006). Management options for minimizing the damage by ascochyta blight (*Ascochyta rabiei*) in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Field Crops Research*, 97, 121-134. DOI:10.1016/j.fcr.2005.10.002
- Gaur, P.M., Samineni, S., Thudi, M., Tripathi, S., Sajja, S.B., Jayalakshmi, V., Mannur, D.M., Vijayakumar, A.G., Rao, N.V.P.R.G., Ojjewo, C., Fikre, A., Kimurto, P., Kileo, R.O., Girma, N., Chaturvedi, S.K., Varshney, R.K., & Dixit, G.P. (2019). Integrated breeding approaches for improving drought and heat adaptation in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Plant Breeding*, 138, 389–400. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbr.12641>
- Gaur, P. M., Tripathi, S., Gowda, C. L. L., Rao, G. V. R., Sharma, H. C., Pande, S. & Sharma, M. (2010). Chickpea seed production manual. Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics. 28pp.
- Harrisa, D., Pathan, A.K., Gothkar, P., Joshi, A., Chivasac, W., & Nyamudeza, P. (2001). On-farm seed priming: Using participatory methods to revive and refine a key technology. *Agricultural Systems*, 69,151-164. DOI: 10.1016/S0308-521X(01)00023-3
- IPCC (2009). The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Available at: <http://www.ipcc.ch>.
- IPCC (2014). Summary for policy makers. In: Field, CB, Barros, VR, Dokken, DJ, Mach, KJ, Mastrandrea, MD et al. (Eds.) Climate Change, 2014: Impact, Adoption, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects, Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Inter-governmental Panel On Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp 1-32.

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

- Kagimbo, F., Shimelis, H., & Sibiya, J. (2018). Sweet Potato Weevil Damage, Production Constraints, and Variety Preferences in Western Tanzania: Farmers' Perception. *Journal of Crop Improvement*, 32,107-123. DOI:10.1080/15427528.2017.1400485
- Kaloki, P. (2010). Sustainable climate change adaptation options in Agriculture: The case of chickpea in the Semi-Arid tropics of Kenya. The African climate change programme. Nairobi, START/EGERTON/ICRISAT.
- Kanouni, H., Shahab, M.R., Imtiaz, M., & Khalili, M. (2012). Genetic variation in drought tolerance in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes. *Crop Breeding Journal*, 2(2), 133-138. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22092/cbj.2012.100433>
- KARI (2012). Annual Report 2011. Kenya Agricultural Research Institute, Nairobi.
- Kiiza, B., Kitembo, L.G., & Mwangi, R.O.M. (2012). Participatory plant breeding and selection impact on adoption of improved sweetpotato varieties in Uganda. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology*, 2, 673-681.
- Kimurto, P.K., Towett, B.K., Mulwa, R.K., Cheruiyot, E.K., Gangarao, R., Silim, S., Varshney, R.K., & Gaur, P.M. (2009). Screening for drought tolerance in selected chickpea (*Cicer Arietinum* L.) germplasm in semi-arid areas of Kenya. Proceedings of Annual Research Meetings of CGIAR Generation Challenge Program Chickpea Annual Research Meeting, 20th -23rd September 2009. Bamako, Mali.
- Kimurto, P.K., Towett, B.K., Njogu, N., Jeptanui, L., Gangarao, N.V.P.R., Silim, S., Kaloki, P.K., Korir, P.K., & Macharia, J.K. (2013). Evaluation of chickpea genotypes for tolerance to ascochyta blight (*Ascochyta rabiei*) disease in the dry highlands of Kenya. *Journal of Phytopathologia Mediterranea*, 52, 611-613.
- Kosgei, A.J, Kamau, A.W., & Tuey, R.K. (2013). Use of phosphorus and pymarc in management of beanfly (*Ophiomyia spp.*) on common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) The First International Conference on Pesticidal Plants 21-24th Jan, 2013, ICIPE, Nairobi.
- Lalitha, N., Upadhyaya, H.D., Krishnamurthy, L., Kashiwagi, J., Kavikishor, P.B., & Singh, S. (2015). Assessing Genetic Variability for Root Traits and Identification of Trait-Specific Germplasm in Chickpea Reference Set. *Crop Science*, 55, 2034-2045.
- Leley, P.K. (2007). Recurrent selection for drought tolerance in maize (*Zea mays* L.) and a study of heterotic patterns of maize populations from Eastern Kenya. PhD Thesis, University of Kwa Zulu Natal, 144pp.
- Leport, L., Turner, N.C., Davies, S.L., & Siddique, K.H.M. (2006). Variation in pod production and abortion among chickpea cultivars under terminal drought. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 24, 236-246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2005.08.005>
- Mazid, A., Shideed, K., El-Abdullah, M., Zyadeh, G., & Moustafa, J. (2013). Impacts of crop Improvement research on farmers' livelihoods: The case of winter-sown chickpea in Syria. *Experimental Agriculture*, 49, 336-351. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014479712001342>
- Mekbib, F. (2008a). Farmers' breeding of sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] in the center of diversity, Ethiopia: II. Selection process, criteria and methods. *Journal of New Seeds* 9, 234-265. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15228860802303868>
- Mekbib, F. (2008b). Farmers' breeding of sorghum in the center of diversity, Ethiopia: I. Socioecotype differentiation, varietal mixture and selection efficiency. *Journal of New Seeds* 9, 43-67. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15228860701879299>

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

- Merga, B., Haji, J., & Yildiz, F. (2019). Economic importance of chickpea: Production, value, and world trade. *Cogent Food and Agriculture* 5, 1. DOI: [10.1080/23311932.2019.1615718](https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2019.1615718)
- Mergeai, G., Kimani, P., Mwang'ombe, A., Olubayo, F., Smith, C., Audi, P., Baudoin, J., & Roi, A.L. (2001). Survey of pigeonpea production systems, utilization and marketing in semi-arid lands of Kenya. *Biotechnology, Agronomy, Society and Environment* 5, 145-153.
- Mhike, X., Okori, P., Kassie, G.T., Magorokosho, C., & Chikobvu, S. (2012). An appraisal of farmer variety selection in drought prone areas and its implications to breeding for drought tolerance. *Journal of Agricultural Science* 4, 27-43. DOI: [10.5539/jas.v4n6p27](https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v4n6p27)
- MOA. (2017). Ministry of Agriculture Annual report, Nairobi, Kenya
- Mulwa, R.S, Kimurto, P.K. & Towett, B.K. (2010). Evaluation and selection of drought and pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera*) tolerant to chickpea genotypes for introduction in semi-arid areas of Kenya. Second RUFORUM Biennial Meeting 20-24 September 2010, Entebbe, Uganda, 8pp.
- NEMA. (2009a). Bomet District environment action plan 2009-2013. National Environment Management Authority (NEMA). 128pp.
- NEMA. (2009b). Mbeere South District environmental action plan 2009-2013. National Environment Management Authority (NEMA). 97pp.
- Njoku, D.N, Egesi, C.N., Gracen, V.E., Offei, S.K., Asante, I.K. & Danquah, E.Y. (2014). Identification of pro-vitamin A cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) varieties for adaptation and adoption through participatory research. *Journal of Crop Improvement* 28, 361-376. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15427528.2014.888694>
- Oduori, C.O.A. (2009). Breeding investigation of finger millet characteristics including blast disease and striga resistance in Western Kenya. PhD, University of Kwazulu-Natal, 217pp.
- Ojwang, P.P.O. (2010). Genetic studies on host-plant resistance to bean fly (*Ophiomyia* spp.) and sees yield in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) under semi-arid conditions. University of KwaZulu-Natal, 160pp.
- Onyari, C.A.N, Ouma, J.P & Kibe, A.M. (2010). Effect of tillage method and sowing time on phenology, yield and yield components of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under semi-arid conditions in Kenya. *Journal of Applied Biosciences*, 34, 2156-2165.
- Oppong-Sekyere, D., Akromah, R., Akpalu, M.M., Ninfaa, A.D., Nyamah, E.Y, Brahimah, M.M. & Salif, A.R.S. (2015). Participatory rural appraisal of constraints to groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) production in Northern Ghana. *International Journal of Current Research and Academic Review*, 3, 54-76.
- Pande, S., Sharma, M., Gaur, P., Basandrai, A., Kaur, L., Hooda, K., Basandrai, D., Babu, T.K., Jain, S.K & Rathore, A. (2013). Biplot analysis of genotype x environment interactions and identification of stable sources of resistance to Ascochyta blight in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Australasian Plant Pathology*, 42, 561-571. DOI: [10.1007/s13313-013-0219-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13313-013-0219-x)
- Pande, S, Sharma, M., Gaur, P., Tripathi, S., Kaur, L., Basandrai, A., Khan, T., Gowda, C., & Siddique, K. (2011). Development of screening techniques and identification of new sources of resistance to Ascochyta blight disease of chickpea. *Australasian Plant Pathology*, 40, 149-156. DOI: [10.1007/s13313-010-0024-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13313-010-0024-8)

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

- Pang, J., Turner, N.C., Khan, T., Du, Y., Xiong, J., Colmer, T.D., Devilla, R., Stefanova, K., & Siddique, K.H.M. (2017). Response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to terminal drought: leaf stomatal conductance, pod abscisic acid concentration, and seed set. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 68, 1973–1985. DOI: [10.1093/jxb/erw153](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erw153)
- Purushothaman, R., Zaman-Allah, M., Mallikarjuna, N., Pannirselvam, R., Krishnamurthy, L., Lakkegowda, C., & Gowda, L. (2013). Root anatomical traits and their possible contribution to drought tolerance in grain legumes. *Plant Production Science*, 16, 1-8. DOI: [10.1626/pp.s.16.1](https://doi.org/10.1626/pp.s.16.1)
- Saget, S., Costa, M., Barilli, E., Wilton de Vasconcelos, M., Santos, C.S., Styles, D., & Williams, M. (2020). Substituting wheat with chickpea flour in pasta production delivers more nutrition at a lower environmental cost. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 24, 26-38. DOI: [10.1016/j.spc.2020.06.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2020.06.012)
- Sariah, J.B., & Makundi, R.H. (2007). Effect of sowing time on infestation of beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) by two species of the Bean Stem Maggot, *Ophiomyia spencerella* and *Ophiomyia phaseoli* (Diptera: Agromyzidae). *Archives of Phytopathological and Plant Protection*, 40, 45-51. DOI: [10.1080/03235400500321461](https://doi.org/10.1080/03235400500321461)
- Sharma, H.C., Pampapathy, G., Lanka, S.K., & Ridsdill-Smith, T.J. (2005). Antibiosis mechanism of resistance to pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* in wild relatives of chickpea. *Euphytica*, 142, 107-117. DOI: [10.1007/s10681-005-1041-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-005-1041-5)
- Shelton, A.C., & Tracy, W. (2016). Participatory plant breeding and organic agriculture: A synergistic model for organic variety development in the United States. *Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene* 4, 000143. doi: [10.12952/journal.elementa.000143](https://doi.org/10.12952/journal.elementa.000143)
- Singh, D., Singh, S.K., & Vennila, S. (2015). Weather parameters influence population and larval parasitization of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) in chickpea ecosystem. *Legume Research*, 38 (3), 402-406. DOI: [10.5958/0976-0571.2015.00111.3](https://doi.org/10.5958/0976-0571.2015.00111.3)
- Snapp, S., Rahmanian, M., Batello, C. (2018). Pulse crops for sustainable farms in sub-Saharan Africa. Callles, T (ed.). Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- Steinke, J. & Etten, J. (2017). Gamification of farmer-participatory priority setting in plant breeding: Design and validation of “AgroDuos”. *Journal of Crop Improvement*, 31(3), 356-378, DOI: [10.1080/15427528.2017.1303801](https://doi.org/10.1080/15427528.2017.1303801)
- Thagana, W.M., Gethi, M., Mursoy, R., Rao, G., & Silim, S. (2009). Chickpea: A promising new food legume crop for drought prone cool areas of Kenya. *African Crop Science Conference Proceedings*, 9, 777-780.
- Thudi, M., Upadhyaya, H.D, Rathore, A., Gaur, P.M., Krishnamurthy, L., Roorkiwal, M., Nayak, S.N., Chaturvedi, S.K., Basu, P.S., Gangarao, N.V., Fikre, A., Kimurto, P., Sharma, P.C, Sheshashayee, M.S., Tobita, S., Kashiwagi, J., Ito, O., Killian, A., & Varshney, RK. (2014). Genetic dissection of drought and heat tolerance in chickpea through genome-wide and candidate gene-based association mapping approaches. *PLoS One*, 9 (5), e96758. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0096758>
- Upadhyaya, H.D., Dwivedi, S.L, Gowda, C.L.L., & Singh, S. (2007). Identification of diverse germplasm lines for agronomic traits in a chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) core collection for use in crop improvement. *Field Crops Research*, 100, 320-326. DOI: [10.1016/j.fcr.2006.08.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2006.08.008)

Citation: Kosgei, A; Kimurto, P; Gaur, P; Yeboah, M; Offei, S & Danquah, E. (2021). Farmers' Perception on Production Constraints, Trait Preference and Variety Selection of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Kenya. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(9), 18 – 40.

- Van Gastel, A.J.G., Bishaw, Z., Nianae, A.A., Gregg, B.R., & Gan, Y. (2018). Chickpea seed production. <https://www.researchgate.net/publications/283613928>
- Varshney, R.K., Hiremath, P., Lekha, P., Kashiwagi, J., Balaji, J., Deokar, A.A., Vadez, V., Xiao, Y., Srinivasan, R., Gaur, P.M., Siddique, K.H.M., Town, C.D., Hoisington, D.A. (2009). A comprehensive resource of drought and salinity responsive ESTs for gene discovery and marker development in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *BMC Genomics*, 10, 1- 5. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-10-523.
- Were, W.V. (2011). Cassava breeding through complementary conventional and participatory approaches in western Kenya University of Kwazulu-Natal, 134pp.
- Witcombe, J.R, Gyawali, S., Sunwar, S., Sthapit, B.R., & Joshi J.D. (2006). Participatory plant breeding is better described as highly client-orientated plant breeding: II. Optional farmer collaboration in the segregating generation. *Experimental Agriculture*, 42, 79-90. DOI: 10.1017/S0014479705003091
- Wright, H.L., Ashpole, J.E, Dicks, L.V., Hutchison, J., McCormack, C.G., & Sutherland, W.J. 2020. Some Aspects of Enhancing Natural Pest Control. Pages 589-612 in: W.J. Sutherland, L.V. Dicks, S.O. Petrovan & R.K. Smith (eds) What Works in Conservation 2020. Open Book Publishers, Cambridge, UK.